

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-0375 MCE AC (PS)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASES
SHOULD NOT BE CONSOLIDATED

RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-0415 MCE AC (PS)


In both of the above-captioned cases, plaintiff alleges that on March 31, 2015 at about 10:30 a.m., he was subjected to excessive force and an unlawful search, by both a City of Sacramento Police Officer and a County of Sacramento Deputy Sheriff. Douglas v. City of

1 Sacramento, 16-cv-0375, ECF No. 10 (“City Complaint”) (E.D. Cal. May 23, 1016); Douglas v.
2 County of Sacramento, 16-cv-0415, ECF No. 9 (“County Complaint”) (E.D. Cal. May 23, 2016).
3 Plaintiff additionally alleges that he was arrested by the Deputy Sheriff.

4 Plaintiff has sued the County and the Deputy Sheriff in the County Complaint, and he has
5 sued the City and the Police Officer in the City Complaint. The cases have already been “related”
6 to each other under E.D. Cal. R. (“Local Rule”) 123(a).

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties shall show cause, in writing, no
8 later than 30 days from the date of this order, why these cases should not be consolidated under
9 Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

10 DATED: July 27, 2016

11 
12 ALLISON CLAIRE
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28