

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS,
Plaintiff,
v.
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-0415 MCE AC (PS)

ORDER

RAYMOND M. DOUGLAS,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-0375 MCE AC (PS)

Plaintiff is proceeding in these actions pro se. The actions were referred to the undersigned by E.D. Cal. R. (“Local Rule”) 302(c)(21). The actions were related to each other on April 29, 2016.

The first-referenced action, 16-cv-0415, was set for a Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference on October 19, 2016. However, no party has filed the status report required by the

1 order scheduling the conference. The second-referenced action, 16-cv-0375, has not yet been set
2 for a scheduling conference.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. The October 19, 2016 scheduling conference in 16-cv-0415 is VACATED;

5 2. Both cases are hereby SET for a joint Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference on
6 November 16, 2016, at 10:00 a.m., before the undersigned. The instructions set forth in the
7 scheduling conference order in 16-cv-0415, ECF No. 8, apply to the upcoming scheduling
8 conference.

9 3. The parties are cautioned that failure to timely file status reports or to otherwise
10 comply with the above-referenced scheduling conference order may result in sanctions, including
11 a recommendation for dismissal or judgment.

12 DATED: October 13, 2016

13 
14 ALLISON CLAIRE
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28