

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA A. JAMISON, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-0422 KJM AC

PROTECTIVE ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties' stipulated confidentiality agreement and protective order (ECF No. 25), is APPROVED and INCORPORATED herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:

1. Requests to seal documents shall be made by motion before the same judge who will decide the matter related to that request to seal.
2. The designation of documents (including transcripts of testimony) as confidential pursuant to this order does not automatically entitle the parties to file such a document with the court under seal. Parties are advised that any request to seal documents in this district is governed by Local Rule 141. In brief, Local Rule 141 provides that documents may only be sealed by a written order of the court after a specific request to seal has been made. L.R. 141(a). However, a mere request to seal is not enough under the local rules. In particular, Local Rule 141(b) requires

1 that “[t]he ‘Request to Seal Documents’ shall set forth *the statutory or other authority for sealing,*
2 the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons to be permitted access to the
3 document, and all relevant information.” L.R. 141(b) (emphasis added).

4 3. A request to seal material must normally meet the high threshold of showing that
5 “compelling reasons” support secrecy; however, where the material is, at most, “tangentially
6 related” to the merits of a case, the request to seal may be granted on a showing of “good cause.”
7 Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-1102 (9th Cir. 2016), petition
8 for cert. filed, ___ U.S.L.W. ___ (U.S. March 24, 2016) (No. 15-1211); Kamakana v. City and
9 County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006).

10 4. Nothing in this order shall limit the testimony of parties or non-parties, or the use of
11 certain documents, at any court hearing or trial – such determinations will only be made by the
12 court at the hearing or trial, or upon an appropriate motion.


13 5. With respect to motions regarding any disputes concerning this protective order which
14 the parties cannot informally resolve, the parties shall follow the procedures outlined in Local
15 Rule 251. Absent a showing of good cause, the court will not hear discovery disputes on an *ex*
16 *parte* basis or on shortened time.

17 6. The parties may not modify the terms of this Protective Order without the court’s
18 approval. If the parties agree to a potential modification, they shall submit a stipulation
19 and proposed order for the court’s consideration.

20 7. Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(f), the court will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement
21 of the terms of this Protective Order after the action is terminated.

22 8. Any provision in the parties’ stipulation (ECF No. 25) that is in conflict with anything
23 in this order is hereby DISAPPROVED.

24 DATED: July 11, 2016

25 
26 ALLISON CLAIRE
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28