1		
1 2		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICHARD STEWART,	No. 2:16-cv-0447 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ROMERO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On May 31, 2017, the court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court the USM-	
18	285 forms necessary to effect service on five defendants. The time allotted to comply with the	
19	terms of the court's May 31, 2017 order has expired and plaintiff has not filed anything	
20	explaining his failure to comply. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this	
21	action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
22	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
23	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
24	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
25	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
26	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
27	/////	
28	/////	

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
2	Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: September 19, 2017 Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	1 stew0447.fusm(2)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17	
19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2