

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

MICHAEL G. PEDHIRNEY, Bar No. 233164  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
333 Bush Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: 415.433.1940  
[mpedhirney@littler.com](mailto:mpedhirney@littler.com)

JOHN H. ADAMS, JR., Bar No. 253341  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
500 Capitol Mall  
Suite 2000  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: 916.830.7200  
[jhadams@littler.com](mailto:jhadams@littler.com)

Attorneys for Defendant  
ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROLANDO ASPIRAS,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00958-MCE-KJN

**RELATED TO:**  
MAURICE GOENS,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case no. 2:16-cv-00960-JAM-KJN

**RELATED TO:**  
SHEILA BROADNAX,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00289-TLN-KJN

1 **RELATED TO:**  
MACCORD NGUYEN,  
2  
3 Plaintiff,  
4  
5 v.  
6  
7 ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
8  
9 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00292-TLN-KJN

10 **RELATED TO:**  
GLORIA FRANKLIN,  
11  
12 Plaintiff,  
13  
14 v.  
15  
16 ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
17  
18 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00303-TLN-KJN

19 **RELATED TO:**  
BIENVENIDA VILORIA,  
20  
21 Plaintiff,  
22  
23 v.  
24  
25 ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
26  
27 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00314-TLN-KJN

28 **RELATED TO:**  
GENESTHER TAYLOR,  
29  
30 Plaintiff,  
31  
32 v.  
33  
34 ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
35  
36 Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00311-TLN-KJN

**RELATED TO:**  
DENNIS RHODES,  
37  
38 Plaintiff,  
39  
40 v.  
41  
42 ADAMS & ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
43  
44 Defendant.


Case No. 2:16-cv-00494-TLN-KJN

1 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning  
2 of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the  
3 same claims, the same event, the same questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would  
4 therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the  
5 assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial  
6 effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions 2:16-cv-00960-JAM-KJN and 2:16-cv-  
8 00958-MCE-KJN are hereby reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge  
9 Kendall Newman for all further proceedings. Any dates currently set in the reassigned case are  
10 hereby VACATED, and the parties are hereby ordered to re-notice any pending motions before  
11 District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Kendall Newman. Henceforth, the caption  
12 on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as 2:16-cv-00960-TLN-KJN and 2:16-  
13 cv-00958-TLN-KJN.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment  
15 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment and issue.

16  
17  
18 Dated: June 6, 2016

19  
20  
21   
22 Troy L. Nunley  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28