1 2 3 4 5 6	ROB BONTA, State Bar No. 202668 Attorney General of California NORMAN D. MORRISON, State Bar No. 212090 Supervising Deputy Attorney General Deputy Attorney General 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721-2271 Telephone: (559) 705-2304 Fax: (559) 445-5106 E-mail: Norman.Morrison@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant Martha Mays, FNP		
7 8			
° 9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9 10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
11			
12	MATTHEW DENNIS,	Case No. 2:16-cv-0542 DAD AC P	
13	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION FOR COURT APPROVAL OF PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS FEES; PROPOSED	
15	V.	ORDER	
16	RALPH DIAZ, in his official capacity; MARTHA MAYS; and KHOUNG PHUI,	Judge: Honorable Dale A. Drozd Trial Date: Not Set	
17	Defendants.	Action Filed: 3/14/2016	
18	Defendants.		
19	Pursuant to California Penal Code section 2085.8, Plaintiff Matthew Dennis and Defendant		
20	Martha Mays, FNP, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate to seek the Court's		
21	approval of Plaintiff's attorney fees, on the following grounds:		
22	1. At the settlement conference held on December 21, 2023, the parties reached a global		
	1. At the setuement conference field of	December 21, 2025, the parties reached a global	
23	settlement in the instant case as well as <i>Dennis v</i> .		
		Doser, et al., E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:22-cv-	
23	settlement in the instant case as well as <i>Dennis v</i> .	<i>Doser, et al.</i> , E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:22-cv- ement were placed on the record before the	
23 24	settlement in the instant case as well as <i>Dennis v</i> . 00818. The material terms of the settlement agree	<i>Doser, et al.</i> , E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:22-cv- ement were placed on the record before the . However, the specific attorney fees requested	
23 24 25	settlement in the instant case as well as <i>Dennis v</i> . 00818. The material terms of the settlement agree Honorable Jeremy D. Peterson, Magistrate Judge	<i>Doser, et al.</i> , E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:22-cv- ement were placed on the record before the . However, the specific attorney fees requested	
23 24 25 26	settlement in the instant case as well as <i>Dennis v</i> . 00818. The material terms of the settlement agree Honorable Jeremy D. Peterson, Magistrate Judge to be paid out of the settlement amount were not conference.	<i>Doser, et al.</i> , E.D. Cal. Case No. 1:22-cv- ement were placed on the record before the . However, the specific attorney fees requested	

In compliance with Penal Code section 2085.8, subdivision (a), court approval of
 Plaintiff's attorney fees is necessary prior to completing the settlement, as the statute requires a
 reasonableness review by the Court in cases involving prisoners.

3. Plaintiff's counsel's requested attorney fees for the settlement of *Dennis v. Mays*,
E.D. Cal. Case No. 2:16-cv-00542 JAM AC is \$625.00. The total settlement amount for this case
is \$2,500.00.

7 4. Coordination of the settlement documents between the parties took additional time 8 due to several factors, such as meeting-and-conferring with Plaintiff's counsel regarding the exact 9 amount of fees for each case, discussing and revisiting the language to be used in the settlement 10 agreement to determine whether or not Plaintiff's outstanding restitution balance would take 11 payment priority over counsel's fees which would have impacted the settlement terms. Once the 12 settlement language was drafted, it was further scrutinized and revised in light of these 13 discussions. When the settlement language approached finalization, it required review and 14 approval from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) before 15 finalizing the settlement agreement terms.

16 5. Plaintiff also understands that pursuant to Penal Code section 2085.8, any amounts
17 owed by a prisoner under restitution, fine, or other court orders, including administrative fees,
18 must be collected by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR).

19 6. Therefore, the Court's approval of Plaintiff's attorney fees is necessary before the20 settlement can be finalized.

21

22

7. The parties request that the Court review the reasonableness of the proposed attorney fee award in accordance with Penal Code section 2085.8, subdivision (a).

- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///

26

27

28

2

1	1 8. Once the Court has reviewed and approved the attorn	8. Once the Court has reviewed and approved the attorney fee award, the final executed		
2	settlement agreement will be forwarded to CDCR for further processing pursuant to the material			
3	3 terms of the settlement reached on December 23, 2023.	terms of the settlement reached on December 23, 2023.		
4	4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
5	5			
6	6 Dated: December 23, 2024	e		
7	7 Supervising	MORRISON IV Deputy Attorney General		
8	Attorneys for	Defendant Martha Mays, FNP		
9		Howard		
10	10 TAYLOR HOW Attorney for			
11	11			
12	(PROPOSED) ORDER			
13				
14		f \$625.00 to Plaintiff's counsel		
15	Good cause appearing, the Court approves the payment of \$625.00 to Plaintiff's counsel for reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in the above matter.			
16	16			
17		-Clane		
18	18 ALLISON CL UNITED STA	AIRE TES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25				
26	26			
27				
28	28			