| 1 | | |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | BRICE ANTHONY PEELER, | No. 2:16-cv-0582 CKD P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | KEVIN REALI, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | This prisoner civil rights action was dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a | |
| 18 | claim on September 21, 2016. (ECF No. 11.) Before the court is plaintiff's motion for | |
| 19 | reconsideration of the judgment. (ECF No. 14.) | |
| 20 | A district court ¹ may reconsider a ruling under either Federal Rule of Civil Procedure | |
| 21 | 59(e) or 60(b). See Sch. Dist. Number. 1J, Multnomah County v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, | |
| 22 | 1262 (9th Cir. 1993). "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with | |
| 23 | newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly | |
| 24 | unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." <u>Id.</u> at 1263. Here, the court' | |
| 25 | decision to dismiss this action without prejudice was not clearly erroneous nor manifestly unjus | |
| 26 | and none of the other factors apply. | |
| 2728 | Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. (ECF No. 4.) | |
| | 1 | |

Plaintiff has also moved for an extension of time to file a notice of appeal. (ECF No. 15.) Under Rule 4(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, if a party timely files a motion for reconsideration of the judgment, "the time to file an appeal runs for all parties from the entry of the order disposing of the last such remaining motion." Because plaintiff has 30 days after the entry of this order to file a notice of appeal, his motion for extension of time will be denied as moot.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the judgment (ECF No. 14) is denied; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 15) is denied as moot.

Dated: October 20, 2016

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2/ peel0582.ord