

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID D. HARRIS,
Plaintiff,
v.
N. KENNEDY, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-0588 MCE DB P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 9, 2018, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Defendant Kennedy has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed January 9, 2018 are adopted in full;
2. Defendants’ motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 36) is granted as to defendant Carlisle and denied as to defendant Kennedy; and
3. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 13, 2018


MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE