1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAY PALMA,	No. 2:16-cv-0633 KJM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING,	
15	INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 302(c).	
19	On May 3, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
20	served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the findings	
21	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. None of the parties have filed	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
24	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
25	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed	
26	the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
27	the proper analysis.	
28	////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 3, 2017, are adopted in full; and	
3	2. The second cause of action for violation of California Civil Code § 2923.7 is dismissed	
4	with prejudice.	
5	DATED: May 31, 2017.	
6	$1 \circ 0 \circ 1 \circ 1$	
7	Amile	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28	2	