1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	BRENT LEE HARDING,	No. 2:16-cv-0640 KJN P	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	v.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
13	YOAST, et al.,	CONFERENCE	
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, with a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
17	U.S.C. § 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement conference.		
18	Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney to conduct a		
19	settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814 in		
20	Courtroom #24 on March 13, 2017, at 9:30 a.m.		
21	A separate order and writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will issue concurrently with		
22	this order.		
23	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
24	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K.		
25	Delaney on March 13, 2017, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom #24 at the U. S. District		
26	Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814.		
27	2. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the		
28		1	

		ı
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
	6	
2	7	ĺ

28

Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle<sup>1</sup>.

3. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than March 6, 2017 to <a href="mailto:ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov">ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov</a>. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it arrives no later than March 6, 2017. The envelope shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT." If a party desires to share additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e). Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement" (See L.R. 270(d)).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co.</u>, Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official <u>Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u>, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part</u>, <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u>, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).

1			
2	The confidential settlement statement shall be <b>no longer than five pages</b> in length,		
3	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:		
4	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.		
5	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon		
6	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of		
7	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in		
8	dispute.		
9	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.		
10	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and		
11	trial.		
12	e. The relief sought.		
13	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a		
14	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.		
15	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement		
16	conference.		
17	Dated: December 23, 2016		
18	Ferdal J. Newman		
19	KENDALL J. NEWMAN  /sjp/hard0640.med  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	3		