UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 2:16-cv-0654 KJM DB P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding through counsel, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On November 19, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 19, 2020, are adopted in full;
5	2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
6	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.
7	§ 2253.
8	DATED: April 6, 2021.
9 10	12 A Ma 10 - 1
11	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	