1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSH THOMAS,	No. 2:16-cv-0724 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	BRIAN ROBERTS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	Plaintiff, a California prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a motion asking that the court	
18	reconsider its May 16, 2016 finding that plaintiff has "struck out" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and	
19	denying plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff has consented to this court's	
20	jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Local Rule 302.	
21	A district court may reconsider a ruling under either Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e	
22	or 60(b). See Sch. Dist. Number. 1J, Multnomah County v. ACandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9t)	
23	Cir. 1993). "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly	
24	discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3	
25	if there is an intervening change in controlling law." Id. at 1263.	
26	There are no new facts before the court suggesting the court should reconsider the order	
27	issued May 16, 2016. There has been no change in the law and the court's finding that plaintiff	
28	has "struck out" and denying plaintiff's in forma pauperis application are neither clearly	

erroneous nor manifestly unjust. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for reconsideration will be denied. Alternatively, plaintiff asks that the court stay this action pending his appeal in 1:15-cv-0527 LJO DLB P, one of the actions the court found to be a "strike." Good cause appearing, that request will be granted. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 8) is denied. 2. Plaintiff's request that this matter be stayed is granted. 3. Plaintiff need not pay the filing fee for this matter at this time. 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to administratively close the case. 5. Plaintiff shall inform the court within 14 days of the Ninth Circuit rendering a decision on his appeal in 1:15-cv-0527 LJO DLB P. arch U. Dela Dated: June 6, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE thom0724.sty