

| 1 | Pursuant to Local Rule 144(a), all parties hereby request the Court's approval | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | of the following stipulation extending the time for Defendant Mayclin Dental | | | | | |
| 3 | Studio, Inc. dba Kinder Krowns to file an Answer or other responsive pleading by | | | | | |
| 4 | an additional 60 days to allow the Plaintiff to conduct jurisdictional discovery: | | | | | |
| 5 | STIPULATION | | | | | |
| 6 | WHEREAS, Defendant's responsive pleading is currently due on May 18, | | | | | |
| 7 | 2016; | | | | | |
| 8 | WHEREAS, the parties previously stipulated to a 14-day extension allowing | | | | | |
| 9 | Defendant to file its responsive pleading by May 18, 2016; | | | | | |
| 10 | WHEREAS, Defendant has indicated its intent to file a motion challenging | | | | | |
| 11 | personal jurisdiction and Plaintiff has requested the opportunity to take preliminary | | | | | |
| 12 | discovery limited to the issue of personal jurisdiction over Defendant in California; | | | | | |
| 13 | WHEREAS, on May 13, 2016, counsel for the parties had a meet and confer | | | | | |
| 14 | to discuss the bases for and points of conflict of Defendant's motion; | | | | | |
| 15 | WHEREAS, good cause exists for the Court to approve this stipulation so | | | | | |
| 16 | that the Court and all parties can benefit from the jurisdictional discovery prior to | | | | | |
| 17 | briefing or ruling on Defendant's proposed motion challenging personal | | | | | |
| 18 | jurisdiction; | | | | | |
| 19 | NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS: | | | | | |
| 20 | (1) The time for Defendant Mayclin Dental Studio, Inc. dba Kinder | | | | | |
| 21 | Krowns to file an answer or other responsive pleading shall be | | | | | |
| 22 | extended by an additional 65 days, with the new deadline to file a | | | | | |
| 23 | responsive pleading set for July 22, 2016; | | | | | |
| 24 | (2) Plaintiff shall be entitled to conduct expedited written and deposition | | | | | |
| 25 | discovery related to the following issues: | | | | | |
| 26 | a. Defendant's sales in California; | | | | | |
| 27 | b. Defendant's advertising and marketing activities in California or | | | | | |
| 28 | 2 STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO | | | | | |
| BUCHALTER NEMER A Professional Corporation Irvine | COMPLAINT TO ALLOW JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY BN 20772709v1 | | | | | |

| 1 | | | directed at California; |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---|
| 2 | | c. | Defendant's presence in California including for example |
| 2 | | C . | attendance at trade shows, conferences, and other marketing |
| 4 | | | events in California; |
| 5 | | d. | |
| | | u. | Defendant's website activity including sales, marketing, and |
| 6 | | | whether it has been accessed from California-based IP |
| 7 | | | addresses; |
| 8 | | e. | Defendant's shipments to California, including shipments of |
| 9 | | | products, samples, promotional materials, or any other items; |
| 10 | | f. | Defendant's business dealings with other persons or companies |
| 11 | | | located in California; |
| 12 | | g. | Defendant's business relationships and agreements with |
| 13 | | | distributors or sales representatives offering or marketing |
| 14 | | | Defendant's products in California; |
| 15 | | h. | Defendant's knowledge that Plaintiff is a California-based |
| 16 | | | company; and |
| 17 | | i. | Defendant's employees' or officers' physical appearances in |
| 18 | | | California for any business purpose. |
| 19 | (3) | All c | onfidential, financial and proprietary information of Defendant |
| 20 | | shall | be subject to a stipulated protective order to be entered into by |
| 21 | | the p | arties pursuant to Local Rule 141.1 and 143; |
| 22 | (4) | The | following expedited discovery response deadlines shall apply to |
| 23 | | | tiff's jurisdictional discovery: |
| 24 | | a. | Plaintiff's written discovery shall consist of no more than ten |
| 25 | | | (10) requests for production of documents, ten (10) requests for |
| 26 | | | admission and ten (10) interrogatories, which shall be served no |
| 27 | | | later than fourteen (14) days after the filing of the Court's Order |
| 28 | | | 3 |
| I BUCHALTER NEMER | STIPU | ULAT OMPI | ION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO LAINT TO ALLOW JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY |
| A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION IRVINE | BN 20772709v1 | | |

| 1 | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---|
| 1 | | _ | | this Stipulation; |
| 2 | | b. | - | ests for production of documents, without waiver of |
| 3 | | | | 's rights to object and/or assert privileges, Defendant |
| 4 | | | shall serve | written responses and responsive documents on |
| 5 | | | Plaintiff w | ithin 21 days of receiving Plaintiff's requests for |
| 6 | | | production | 1; |
| 7 | | c. | As to requ | ests for admission and interrogatories, without waiver |
| 8 | | | of Defenda | ant's rights to object and/or assert privileges, |
| 9 | | | Defendant | shall serve responses on Plaintiff within 14 days of |
| 10 | | | receiving 1 | requests for admission and interrogatories. |
| 11 | | d. | The parties | s stipulate to accept service of the aforementioned |
| 12 | | | discovery | requests and discovery responses by email. |
| 13 | (5) | With | in 14 days o | of the date of the Court's approval of this Stipulation, |
| 14 | | Plain | tiff will serv | ve a deposition notice on Defendant and Defendant |
| 15 | | will | produce its p | person most qualified to testify as to the jurisdictional |
| 16 | | issue | s outlined al | bove at a mutually agreeable date and time, in |
| 17 | | Minr | neapolis, Mi | nnesota, no later than July 18, 2016. |
| 18 | (6) | Noth | ing in this S | tipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any claim |
| 19 | | or de | fense by any | y party to this case. |
| 20 | (7) | This | Stipulation | shall become effective only upon the filing of the |
| 21 | | Cour | t's Order ap | proving this Stipulation. |
| 22 | So stipula | | - | |
| 23 | Ĩ | | | |
| 24 | | Mary 14 | 2016 | DUCUAL TED NEMED |
| 25 | DATED: | May 16 | o, 2016 | BUCHALTER NEMER A Professional Corporation |
| 26 | | | | 1 |
| 20 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| II Buchalter Nemer | STI | ULAT COMPI | | ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO |
| A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION IRVINE | BN 20772709v1 | | | |

| 1 | |
|-----------------|---|
| 1 | By: /s/ <u>Paul J. Fraidenburgh</u> PAUL J. FRAIDENBURGH |
| 2 | Attorneys for Plaintiff EZ PEDO, INC. |
| 3 | EZ PEDO, INC. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Dated: May 16, 2016 LOMMEN ABDO, P.A. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | <u>/s/ Adam P. Gislason</u> Adam P. Gislason |
| 8 | (As authorized in writing on 5/16/16.) |
| 9 | ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT MAYCLIN DENTAL STUDIO INC |
| 10 | MAYCLIN DENTAL STUDIO, INC. DBA KINDER KROWNS |
| 11 | |
| 12 13 | ORDER |
| 13 | Good cause appearing, the court GRANTS the parties' stipulation to extend |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 10 | |
| 17 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 10 | Dated: May 18, 2016 |
| 20 | MA Mulla / |
| 20 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 25 | |
| 25 26 | |
| 20 | |
| 28 | 5 STIDUL ATION AND ODDED EVTENDING THE TO DEGROND TO |
| BUCHALTER NEMER | STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT TO ALLOW JURISDICTIONAL DISCOVERY |
| Irvine | BN 20772709v1 |