1 Katherine M. Dugdale, Bar No. 168014 KDugdale@perkinscoie.com 2 Audra M. Mori, Bar No. 162850 AMori@perkinscoie.com 3 PERKINS COIE LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 4 Telephone: 310.788.9900 5 Facsimile: 310.788.3399 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff MICROSOFT CORPORATION 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 2:16-cv-00758-MCE-AC MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 12 Plaintiff, 13 JOINT REQUEST FOR SETTLEMENT V. CONFERENCE AND STIPULATION TO 14 NATALIE REZAC, an individual d/b/a PROPOSE NEW SCHEDULING DATES: WHOLESALE SOFTWARE a/k/a ORDER THEREON 15 GENUINEWHOLESALESOFTWARE.COM; and DOES 1-5. 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff MICROSOFT CORPORATION (hereinafter "Plaintiff") and Defendant Natalie 19 Rezac, an individual d/b/a Wholesale Software a/k/a GenuineWholesaleSoftware.com 20 ("Defendant"), appearing pro se, (Plaintiff and Defendant are hereinafter collectively referred to 21 as the "Parties"), stipulate and jointly request (1) that a settlement conference with a magistrate 22 judge be scheduled to take place in or about February 2017 in this case, or as soon thereafter as is 23 convenient for the magistrate judge and the Parties; and (2) that the scheduling dates proposed by 24 the Parties (Dkt. #9), but not yet entered by the Court, be extended until after the settlement 25 conference. 26 The Parties stipulate and jointly request that a settlement conference be set before a 27 magistrate judge in February 2017, or as soon thereafter as is convenient. Pursuant to Local Rule 28

1 | 2 | c | c | 3 | o | 4 | p | 5 | 2 | 6 | T | 7 | m | 8 | w | 9 | f | 6 | f | 6 | 1 | 7 | m | 7 | m | 8 | w | 9 | f | 6 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m | 7 | m

270(a), and because Defendant is *pro se*, the Parties stipulate that in order to make the settlement conference meaningful, it should take place prior to the time that the Parties must incur the costs of completing discovery, including depositions and summary judgment motions, so that they may put their resources and time toward settlement. The Parties filed their Joint Report on August 22, 2016 with proposed scheduling dates (Dkt. #9), however, no dates have been set by the Court. The Parties stipulate and jointly request that the original proposed deadlines be extended by three months in order to give the Parties time to participate in a meaningful settlement conference without incurring the fees and costs associated with completing discovery and preparing a motion for summary judgment. The new proposed dates are as follows:

Deadline	Current Proposed Date	New Proposed Date
Settlement Conference	None	February 2017
Deadline for Completion of Fact Discovery	January 31, 2017	April 28, 2017
Deadline to file Non- discovery/Dispositive Motions	March 24, 2017	June 26, 2017
Final Pretrial Conference Date	July 2017	October 2017
Trial	August 2017	November 2017

DATED: January 4, 2017 PERKINS COIE LLP

By: /s/ Katherine. M. Dugdale
Katherine M. Dugdale

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MICROSOFT CORPORATION

1 2 3	DATED: December, 2016 NATALIE REZAC, an individual d/b/a WHOLESALE SOFTWARE a/k/a GENUINEWHOLESALESOFTWARE.COM		
4	By: Watalie Resoc		
5	Natalie Rezac		
6			
7	<u>ORDER</u>		
8			
9	Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan has been randomly drawn as the settlement judge in		
10	this matter. The parties are directed to contact Judge Brennan's chambers directly in order to		
11	schedule the settlement conference. The parties are further directed to advise the Court if the case		
12	does not resolve at the time of the settlement conference. If no settlement has been effectuated, a		
13	Pretrial Scheduling Order will be issued thereafter setting forth the applicable deadlines that will control further disposition of the case.		
14 15			
16			
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18	Dated: April 6, 2017		
19	11 08		
20	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR		
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			