1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTOUR ARISTAKESIAN,	No. 2:16-cv-0786 JAM AC P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	K. HOLLAND, et al.,	
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460	
19	(9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
20	of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.	
21	In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the	
22	appointment of counsel at the present time.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of	
24	counsel (ECF No. 22) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the	
25	proceedings.	
26	DATED: November 7, 2016	auson Clane
27		ALLISON CLAIRE
28		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com