1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 ARTOUR ARISTAKESIAN, No. 2:16-cv-0786 JAM AC P 9 Petitioner, 10 **ORDER** v. 11 K. HOLLAND, WARDEN, 12 Respondent. 13 14 Petitioner has filed a motion for a certificate of appealability. ECF No. 31. Because the 15 court's October 12, 2017 order previously declined to issue a certificate of appealability (see ECF 16 No. 29), the court construes petitioner's pleading as a motion for reconsideration. 17 A review of petitioner's motion for reconsideration of this court's October 2017 order 18 which affirmed the magistrate judge's July 12, 2017 order (see ECF No. 25) reveals that 19 petitioner has failed to demonstrate any new or different facts or circumstances which did not 20 exist or were not shown upon the prior motion. See E.D. Local Rule 230(j). Accordingly, the 21 motion for reconsideration is denied. 22 DATED: July 18, 2018 23 /s/ John A. Mendez_ 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 25 26 27 28 1