1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	HARRIET STICKLEN,	No. 2:16-cv-00804 GGH	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)	
14	KELLEY SERVICES, INC., et al.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	The parties have filed a joint statement regarding scheduling. Accordingly, the court		
18	makes the following findings and orders:		
19	SERVICE OF PROCESS		
20	All defendants have been served and no further service is permitted except with leave of		
21	court, good cause having been shown.		
22	JOINDER OF PARTIES/AMENDMENTS		
23	No further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted except with leave of		
24	court, good cause having been shown.		
25	JURISDICTION/VENUE		
26	Jurisdiction is undisputed and is hereby found to be proper, as is venue.		
27	DISCOVERY AND MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES		
28	1. All law and motion except as to discovery is left open, save and except as follows.		
		1	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	,
8	
9	
10	i
11	
12	

Written discovery and deposition discovery as to percipient witnesses shall be left open and conducted so as to be completed by March 5, 2018 as to percipient witnesses and March 26, 2018 as to expert witnesses. The word "completed" in this context means all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with. Motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the undersigned's calendar in accordance with the local rules of this court and so that such motions will be heard not later than March 12, 2018. This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications, and is subject to any special scheduling set forth in the "MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS" paragraph below.

- 2. The parties should keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned through discovery. If it appears to counsel after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue can be resolved by pretrial motion, counsel are to file the appropriate motion by the law and motion cutoff set forth supra.
- 3. <u>ALL PURELY LEGAL ISSUES ARE TO BE RESOLVED BY TIMELY</u>
  PRETRIAL MOTION. Counsel are reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices
  designed to address the admissibility of evidence. COUNSEL ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE
  COURT WILL LOOK WITH DISFAVOR UPON SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS PRESENTED
  IN THE GUISE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.
- 4. The last day for substantive motions, i.e. Motions for Summary Judgment, may be heard is April 12, 2018 in Courtroom 9. The date for the Motion hearing must be set at least 28 days in advance of that hearing date in accordance with Eastern District of California Local Rule 230. The briefing schedule for such Motions is also controlled by this Local Rule.

### EXPERT DISCLOSURE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1. All counsel (and/or pro se parties) are to designate in writing, file with the court, and serve upon all other parties, the names of all experts that they propose to tender at trial, if any, not later than January 22, 2018. Simultaneous designation of any supplemental/rebuttal experts is due no later than February 5, 2018. An expert witness not appearing on said lists will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates:
- (a) that the necessity of the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the lists were exchanged;
- the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the witness: and
- (c) that the witness was promptly proffered for deposition. Failure to provide the information required along with the expert designation may lead to preclusion of the expert's testimony or other appropriate sanctions.
- 2. For the purposes of this scheduling order, experts are defined as "percipient" and designated experts. Both types of experts shall be listed. Percipient experts are persons who, because of their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work duties or observations pertinent to the issues in the case. Another term for their opinions are "historical opinions."
- (a) Percipient experts are experts who, unless also designated as retained experts, are limited to testifying to their historical opinions and the reasons for them. That is, they may be asked to testify to their opinions given in the past and the whys and wherefores concerning the development of that opinion. However, they may not be asked to render a current opinion for the purposes of the litigation.
- Retained experts, who may be percipient experts as well, are specifically (b) designated by a party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of the litigation. The retained Rule 26 expert may express opinions formed for the purposes of the litigation. A party designating a

26

27

28

Retained experts may, or may not, be paid for their services. The critical distinction between percipient and retained experts is that the retained expert will have gathered information during

retained expert will be assumed to have acquired the express permission of the witness to be so listed.<sup>2</sup>

3. The parties shall comply with the information disclosure provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a)(2) (B) for any expert who is, in whole or in part, designated as a retained expert. This information is due at the time of designation. Failure to supply the required information may result in the striking of the retained expert. No reports are necessary for purely percipient experts. Retained experts are to be fully prepared to render an informed opinion at the time of designation so that they may fully participate in any deposition taken by the opposing party. Retained experts will not be permitted to testify at trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, which should have been reasonably available at the time of designation and disclosed in the expert report. The court will closely scrutinize for discovery abuse deposition opinions which differ markedly in nature and/or in bases from those expressed in the mandatory information disclosure.

# FINAL PRETRIAL STATEMENTS AND CONFERENCE

The Final Pretrial Conference is set in Courtroom 9 of the Honorable Gregory G. Hollows on May 14, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. Counsel are cautioned that counsel appearing for Pretrial must be the counsel who will in fact try the matter.

All parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time of the Pretrial Conference, with no matters remaining to be accomplished except production of witnesses for oral testimony. Counsel are referred to Local Rules 281 and 282 relating to the contents of and time for filing Pretrial

the course of the litigation for the purpose of rendering an opinion on a disputed fact in the litigation. Percipient experts are limited to the information available at the time their historical opinions were given. For example, a physician whose only contact with the litigation the was the treatment of a party prior to the commencement of litigation, or even after commencement, and whose only purpose was to treat the party, is a percipient expert. This doctor may have issued an opinion in the medical records, but he is not retained for the purpose of the litigation. However, that same doctor, if asked by a party to render an opinion for the purpose of litigation, over and above any historically rendered opinions, is a retained expert. See Goodman v. Staples The Office Superstore LLC, 644 F.3d 817 (9th Cir. 2011) (holding percipient treating physician who transforms into expert witness must comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), and clarifying when such transformation takes place).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The court is not interested in a designation of non-testifying Rule 26 experts, i.e., non-testifying consultants.

Statements. A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES 281 AND 282 WILL BE GROUNDS FOR SANCTIONS.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Local Rule 281, which contemplates the filing of separate Pretrial Statements by plaintiffs and defendants, the parties are to prepare a JOINT STATEMENT with respect to the undisputed facts and disputed factual issues of the case. See Local Rule 281(b)(3), (4), and (6). The undisputed facts and disputed factual issues are to be set forth in two separate sections. The parties should identify those facts which are relevant to each separate cause of action. In this regard, the parties are to number each individual fact or factual issue. Where the parties are unable to agree as to what factual issues are properly before the court for trial, they should nevertheless list in the section on "DISPUTED FACTUAL ISSUES" all issues asserted by any of the parties and explain parenthetically the controversy concerning each issue. The parties should keep in mind that, in general, each fact should relate or correspond to an element of the relevant cause of action. The parties should also keep in mind that the purpose of listing the disputed factual issues is to apprise the court and all parties about the precise issues that will be litigated at trial. The court is not interested in a listing of all evidentiary facts underlying the issues that are in dispute.<sup>3</sup> The joint statement of undisputed facts and disputed factual issues is to be filed with the court concurrently with the filing of plaintiff's Pretrial Statement. If the case is tried to a jury, the undisputed facts will be read to the jury.

Pursuant to Local Rule 281(b)(10) and (11), the parties are required to provide in their Pretrial Statements a list of witnesses and exhibits that they propose to proffer at trial, no matter for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the Pretrial Statement itself, but shall be

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

<sup>2223</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For example, and simplistically, if the claim to be adjudicated involved a traffic accident, the disputed factual issues might be: whether defendant negligently drove his vehicle through the intersection by reason of failing to observe traffic signals; whether such negligence caused the accident involving plaintiff, whether plaintiff's actions (being distracted) contributed to the accident; whether plaintiff suffered injury and damages as a result of the accident [perhaps breaking out specific injuries and damages]. It would be inappropriate and unhelpful to list myriad evidentiary facts in dispute—whether the light had turned yellow at the time defendant's vehicle approached the intersection, whether defendants' skid marks were 30 feet long, whether plaintiff was distracted by use of a cell phone, and so forth. However, with respect to the listing of undisputed facts, the court will accept agreements as to evidentiary facts.

attached as separate documents to be used as addenda to the Final Pretrial Order. Plaintiff's
exhibits shall be listed <u>numerically</u>; defendant's exhibits shall be listed <u>alphabetically</u>. The

Pretrial Order will contain a stringent standard for the proffering of witnesses and exhibits at trial
not listed in the Pretrial Order. Counsel are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied.
On the other hand, the listing of exhibits or witnesses which counsel do not intend to call or use
will be viewed as an abuse of the court's processes.

Counsel are also reminded that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, it will be their duty at the

Counsel are also reminded that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, it will be their duty at the Pretrial Conference to aid the court in (a) formulation and simplification of issues and the elimination of frivolous claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should be properly admitted; and (c) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. Counsel must prepare their Pretrial Statements, and participate in good faith at the Pretrial Conference, with these aims in mind. A FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, eliminations of claims or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate.

## TRIAL SETTING

Trial is set for June 18, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 9 before the undersigned. Trial will be by the court. The court expects the trial will take approximately 3-5 days.

#### SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

A Settlement Conference will be set at the time of the Pretrial Conference but the parties, who have indicated an interest participating in the court's Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program may seek access to that program at any time during the course of the litigation.

#### MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

There appear to be no other matters presently pending before the court that will aid the just and expeditious disposition of this matter.

### CONCLUSION

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), THIS COURT SUMMARIZES THE SCHEDULING ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

1. Expert disclosures must be made no later than January 22, 2018;

1	2.	Supplementary expert disclosures must be made no later than February 5, 2018.	
2	3.	Percipient witness discovery must be completed as described in this order no later	
3	than March 5, 2018.		
4	4.	Expert discovery must be completed as described in this order no later than March	
5	26, 2018.		
6	5.	Motions to compel discovery related either to percipient or to expert witnesses are	
7	to be noticed to be heard in conformity with the requirements of Eastern District of California		
8	Rule 251 at a time that meets the completion requirements for discovery found in items 3 and 4		
9	above.		
10	6.	The last day for substantive pretrial motions (Motion for Summary Judgment) may	
11	be heard shall	l be April 12, 2018.	
12	7.	Pretrial Conference (as described in Local Rule 282) is set in this case for May 14,	
13	2018, in Courtroom 9 at 9:00 a.m. Pretrial statements shall be filed in accord with Local Rules		
14	281 and 282.		
15	8.	This matter is set for court trial on June 18, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. a.m. in Courtroom 9.	
16	IT IS	SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: Januar	ry 25, 2017	
18		/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
19		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		_	
		7	