| 1 | | | |
|----|--|------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | TORIBIO MENDOZA, | No. 2:16-cv-0855 CKD P | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> | |
| 14 | SPADARO, et al., | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has | | |
| 18 | ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 | | |
| 19 | cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional | | |
| 20 | circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § | | |
| 21 | 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 | | |
| 22 | F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required | | |
| 23 | exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be | | |
| 24 | denied. | | |
| 25 | ///// | | |
| 26 | ///// | | |
| 27 | ///// | | |
| 28 | ///// | | |

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of | | |
|----|---|------------------------|--|
| 2 | | 1 11 | |
| 3 | | Jr. U. Delany | |
| 4 | 1 II | K. DELANEY | |
| 5 | 5 UNITED ST | TATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 6 | 6 /md; mend0855.31 | | |
| 7 | 7 | | |
| 8 | 8 | | |
| 9 | 9 | | |
| 10 | 0 | | |
| 11 | 1 | | |
| 12 | 2 | | |
| 13 | 3 | | |
| 14 | 4 | | |
| 15 | 5 | | |
| 16 | 6 | | |
| 17 | 7 | | |
| 18 | 8 | | |
| 19 | 9 | | |
| 20 | 0 | | |
| 21 | 1 | | |
| 22 | 2 | | |
| 23 | 3 | | |
| 24 | 4 | | |
| 25 | 5 | | |
| 26 | 6 | | |
| 27 | 7 | | |