Doc. 12

Jones v. Sutter Solano Medical Center et al

1	1. On April 25, 2016, Plaintiff initiated the present action against MetLife in the	
2	United States District Court for the Northern District of California.	
3	2. Plaintiff and Defendant MetLife previously stipulated to an extension until	
4	September 2, 2016 for the Defendants to respond to the complaint.	
5	3. MetLife is substituting Linda B. Oliver of Maynard, Cooper & Gale, LLP, as i	ts
6	attorney of record in this matter.	
7	4. The Parties have agreed to an additional extension of time for Defendant	is to
8	respond to Plaintiff's Complaint until September 23, 2016.	
9	5. This Stipulation will give Defendants' counsel the time necessary to rev	view
10	appropriate case documents. The additional time will afford the parties the opportunity to exp	olore
11	settlement opportunities without incurring additional costs of litigation. This stipulation will	l no
12	alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by court order.	
13	We hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this stipulation was obtained by each	h o
14	the below identified signatories.	
15	Date: August 30, 2016 MAYNARD, COOPER & GALE, LLP	
16	By: <u>/s/ Linda B. Oliver</u> Linda B. Oliver	
17	Attorney for Defendant METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE	
18	COMPANY	
19	Date: August 30, 2016 LAW OFFICES OF JESSE L.B. HILL	
20	By: /s/ Jesse L.B. Hill	
21	Jesse L.B. Hill Attorney for Plaintiff	
22	BOBBY L. JONES	
23		
24		
ا ہ		

ORDER Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the deadline for all Defendants to respond to the Complaint shall be extended as such that a responsive pleading filed on or before September 23, 2016 shall be deemed timely. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 31, 2016 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

{03774591.1}