UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
RALPH EDWARD STEWART,	No. 2:16-cv-00954 GEB GGH
Petitioner,	
v.	ORDER
ERIC ARNOLD,	
Respondent.	
16	
Two months after a traverse was filed in this action, petitioner, on October 25, 2017,	
8 requested that he be able to amend his petition in order to cure "mistakes and [typo]graphical	
9 errors that will cause petitioner's argument to be misconstrued." ECF No. 18. No further	
0 elucidation for the need for amendment is set forth. The court will, however, be able to liberally	
1 construe petitioner's arguments without the necessity for commencing this action essentially from	
2 scratch.	
Accordingly, the Motion to Amend the Petition, ECF. No. 18, is denied.	
Dated: January 2, 2018	
<u>/s/ Gregory G. Hollows</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN DI RALPH EDWARD STEWART, Petitioner, v. ERIC ARNOLD, Respondent. Two months after a traverse was filed in requested that he be able to amend his petition i errors that will cause petitioner's argument to be elucidation for the need for amendment is set fo construe petitioner's arguments without the need scratch. Accordingly, the Motion to Amend the H Dated: January 2, 2018