Doc. 23 Johnson v. Kumar et al 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SCOTT N. JOHNSON, No. 2:16-cv-0978 JAM DB 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 KRISHNA G. KUMAR, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 This action came before the court on September 1, 2017, for hearing of plaintiff's motion 18 to compel. Attorney Mary Melton appeared telephonically on behalf of the plaintiff. No 19 appearance was made by, or on behalf of, any defendant. 20 Upon consideration of the arguments on file and those made at the hearing, and for the 21 reasons set forth on the record at that hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 22 1. Plaintiff's motion to compel (ECF No. 17) is granted; 23 2. Within twenty-one days of the September 1, 2017 hearing defendants shall produce to plaintiff the requested discovery; and 24 25 //// 26 //// 27 ¹ Nor did any defendant file an opposition to plaintiff's motion. 28 1

1	3. Within twenty-one days of the September 1, 2017 hearing, defendants' attorney, Bruce		
2	A. Neilson, shall pay plaintiff \$1,200 in sanctions. ²		
3	DATED: September 5, 2017	/s/ DEBORAH BARNES	
4		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	DLB:6 DB\orders\orders.civil\johnson0978.oah.090117		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	² In this regard, this sanction shall be paid	egard, this sanction shall be paid by attorney Neilson and not his clients.	
	'		