1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KASEY F. HOFFMAN, No. 2:16-cv-0983-EFB P 12 Plaintiff. 13 ORDER AND FINDINGS AND v. RECOMMENDATIONS 14 CUNNINGHAM, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 19 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 20 On October 4, 2017, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 21 § 1915A. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the deficiencies therein and granted 22 plaintiff thirty days in which file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 6. 23 The order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result a recommendation that this action 24 be dismissed. On November 7, 2017, the court granted plaintiff an additional thirty days in which 25 to file his amended complaint. ECF No. 10. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not 26 filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order. 27 A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for 28 imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the 1

1 inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or 2 without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v. 3 Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in 4 dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended 5 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 6 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule 7 regarding notice of change of address affirmed). 8 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a 9 United States District Judge to this case. 10 Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice. Fed. 11 R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110. 12 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 13 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 14 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 15 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 16 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 17 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 18 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez 19 20 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 21 Dated: December 18, 2017. 22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 23 24 25 26 27

28