8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
CLEVELAND WALLACE, et al.,	No. 2:16-cv-1000 TLN CKD PS
Plaintiffs,	
V.	<u>ORDER</u>
BUCKINGHAM PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, et al	
Defendants.	
	I
This action was referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
Calendared for hearing on August 24, 2016 are defendants' motions to dismiss. No opposition to	
the motions has been filed.	
Local Rule 230(c) provides that opposition to the granting of a motion must be filed	
fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing date. The Rule further provides that "[n]o party will	
be entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if written opposition to the	
motion has not been timely filed by that party." In addition, Local Rule 230(i) provides that	
failure to appear may be deemed withdrawal of opposition to the motion or may result in	
sanctions. Finally, Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be	
grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
	FOR THE EASTERN CLEVELAND WALLACE, et al., Plaintiffs, v. BUCKINGHAM PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, et al., Defendants. This action was referred to the unders Calendared for hearing on August 24, 2016 at the motions has been filed. Local Rule 230(c) provides that opport fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing of be entitled to be heard in opposition to a mot motion has not been timely filed by that party failure to appear may be deemed withdrawal sanctions. Finally, Local Rule 110 provides

inherent power of the Court."

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The hearing date of August 24, 2016 is vacated. Hearing on defendants' motions (ECF Nos. 16, 17) is continued to September 21, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. in courtroom no. 24. 2. Plaintiff shall file opposition, if any, to the motions no later than August 31, 2016. Failure to file opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in submission of the motions on the papers and a recommendation that this action against the moving defendants be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). 3. Reply, if any, shall be filed no later than September 7, 2016. Dated: August 12, 2016 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 4 wallace1000.nop