

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLEVELAND WALLACE, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
BUCKINGHAM PROPERTY  
MANAGEMENT, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-1000 TLN CKD PS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiffs are proceeding in this action pro se. In this action, plaintiffs allege only state law claims. The complaint alleges diversity as the basis of jurisdiction. However, plaintiffs are residents of California and the complaint alleges that at least two of the defendant corporations are citizens of California. Thus diversity jurisdiction is lacking.

The federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. In the absence of a basis for federal jurisdiction, plaintiff's claims cannot proceed in this venue. Because there is no basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction evident in the complaint, plaintiffs will be ordered to show cause why this action should not be dismissed. Failure to allege a proper basis for subject matter jurisdiction will result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.

////

////

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than May 31, 2016, plaintiffs shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Dated: May 17, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4 wallace1000.osc.nosmj