1	(Counsel of record listed on next page)	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CAREN WINEGARNER, an individual	No. 2:16-CV-01028 JAM-EFB
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO VACATE MINUTE ORDER OF 01/17/18 (ECF 30) AND
13	vs.	REINSTATE HEARING DATE ON RITE AID'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
14	RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1	JUDGMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, SET HEARING FOR NEXT AVAILABLE DATE
15	through 50, inclusive,	Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez
16	Defendants	Complaint filed: February 2, 2016
17		Trial date: None set
18		_
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		CTIDI II ATION TO VACATE ODDED DEINCTAT

STIPULATION TO VACATE ORDER, REINSTATE HEARING DATE U.S.D.C., E.D. Cal., No. 2:16-CV-01028 JAM-EFB

1 2	MATTHEW RIGHETTI (Cal. State Bar No. 121012) JOHN GLUGOSKI (Cal. State Bar No. 191551) MICHAEL RIGHETTI (Cal. State Bar No. 258541)
3	RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.
	456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94104
4	Telephone: (415) 983-0900 Facsimile: (415) 397-9005
5	matt@righettilaw.com john@righettilaw.com
6	mike@righettilaw.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	JEFFREY D. WOHL (Cal. State Bar No. 096838) JUSTIN M. SCOTT (Cal. State Bar No. 302502)
9	W. TUCKER PAGE (Cal. State Bar No. 306728)
10	PAUL HASTINGS LLP 101 California Street, 48th Floor
11	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 856-7000
12	Facsimile: (415) 856-7100 jeffwohl@paulhastings.com
13	justinscott@paulhastings.com tuckerpage@paulhastings.com
14	Attorneys for Defendant
15	Rite Aid Corporation
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

14

18

16

20

23

Plaintiff Caren Winegarner and defendant Rite Aid Corporation ("Rite Aid") hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the Court vacate its Minute Order of January 17, 2018 (ECF 30) and reinstate the January 30, 2018, hearing date on Rite Aid's motion for partial summary judgment (ECF 26). The parties did meet and confer on the issues in the motion prior to it being filed, and believe that the Court's ruling on Rite Aid's motion likely will expedite resolution of this action and four other related actions (involving five plaintiffs) before the Court, as well as prevent future motion practice in those related actions. In the event that January 30, 2018, is no longer available for the hearing, the parties respectfully request that the Court hear Rite Aid's motion on the next available hearing date. Rite Aid apologizes to the Court for omitting the required language regarding the pre-motion conference in its notice of motion.

In support of their request, the parties stipulate as follows:

- 1. Before Rite Aid filed its motion, the parties met and conferred regarding Rite Aid's position that Fenley v. Rite Aid Corp., Santa Clara Superior Court No. 1-12-CV-2229127, did not toll the statute of limitations on Store Manager misclassification claims filed by plaintiffs' counsel, including this action. This included multiple substantive conversations in October 2017 and November 2017 and a notification by Rite Aid's counsel that Rite Aid would be filing a motion on the issue before this Court, and the anticipated hearing date.
- On November 14, 2017, Rite Aid filed its notice of motion for partial summary judgment, 2. memorandum in support, statement of undisputed facts, and supporting declarations. (ECF 26.) Rite Aid noticed the motion for hearing on January 30, 2018.
- 3. On January 16, 2018, plaintiff filed her opposition, response to Rite Aid's statement of undisputed facts and plaintiff's statement of disputed facts, and supporting declarations. (ECF 29.)
- On January 17, 2018, the Court issued a Minute Order (ECF 30) dismissing Rite Aid's motion without prejudice and vacating the noticed January 30, 2018, hearing, due to Rite Aid's noncompliance with the Court's directive in its May 18, 2016, Order (ECF 7-2) that the moving party

Regarding the secondary issue in Rite Aid's motion regarding whether plaintiffs may assert claims for penalties for meal- and rest-period premiums through California Business and Professions Code 17200, the parties first litigated and conferred regarding this issue in approximately October 2016.

include a statement that, "This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to the Court's standing order which took place on [date of conference]."

- 5. Before (and after) Rite Aid's filing of its motion for partial summary judgment, counsel for the parties have discussed extensively the tolling issue central to Rite Aid's motion, and have been unable to reach a resolution that eliminates the need for a hearing.
- 6. Pursuant to the Court's September 12, 2017, Order, the dispositive motion deadline in this matter—and all other related matters (ECF 23) except *Larry Jones v. Rite Aid Corp.*, U.S.D.C., E.D. Cal., No. 2:17-cv-01527 JAM-EFB—is March 8, 2018, with a hearing deadline of April 9, 2018 (ECF 25). A ruling regarding Rite Aid's motion for partial summary judgment in this matter will likely avoid motion practice in those multiple other related actions before the Court on the same issues.
- 7. The parties therefore stipulate and respectfully request that the Court vacate its January 17, 2018, Minute Order and reinstate the January 30, 2018, hearing date on Rite Aid's motion for partial summary judgment. In the alternative, the parties request the earliest available hearing date that is convenient for the Court. A proposed order is submitted for the Court's convenience.

This stipulation is filed by Justin M. Scott. All other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized this filing.

MATTHEW RIGHETTI

	JOHN GLUGOSKI MICHAEL RIGHETTI RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.	
	By: /s/Michael Righetti Michael Righetti Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
Dated: January 19, 2018.	JEFFREY D. WOHL JUSTIN M. SCOTT W. TUCKER PAGE PAUL HASTINGS LLP	
	By: /s/ Justin M. Scott Justin M. Scott Attorneys for Defendant Rite Aid Corporation	

Dated: January 19, 2018.

1	(Counsel of record listed on next page)		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	CAREN WINEGARNER, an individual	No. 2:16-CV-01028 JAM-EFB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO VACATE MINUTE ORDER OF 01/17/18 (ECF	
13	vs.	30) AND REINSTATE HEARING DATE ON RITE AID'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL	
14	RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1	SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, ALTERNATIVELY, SET HEARING FOR	
15	through 50, inclusive,	NEXT AVAILABLE DATE	
16	Defendants	Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez	
17		Complaint filed: February 2, 2016 Trial date: None set	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	MATTHEW RIGHETTI (Cal. State Bar No. 121012) JOHN GLUGOSKI (Cal. State Bar No. 191551)
2	MICHAEL RIGHETTI (Cal. State Bar No. 258541) RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.
3	456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94104
4	Telephone: (415) 983-0900 Facsimile: (415) 397-9005
5	matt@righettilaw.com john@righettilaw.com
6	mike@righettilaw.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	JEFFREY D. WOHL (Cal. State Bar No. 096838)
9	JUSTIN M. SCOTT (Cal. State Bar No. 302502) W. TUCKER PAGE (Cal. State Bar No. 306728)
10	PAUL HASTINGS LLP
11	101 California Street, 48th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
12	Telephone: (415) 856-7000 Facsimile: (415) 856-7100
13	jeffwohl@paulhastings.com justinscott@paulhastings.com
14	tuckerpage@paulhastings.com
15	Attorneys for Defendant Rite Aid Corporation
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	