1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARRELL E. POLK,	No. 2:16-cv-1034 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	PLACER COUNTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff consented to proceed before the undersigned for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C.	
18	§ 636(c). By order filed January 4, 2017, plaintiff was ordered to show cause, within fourteen	
19	days, why this action should not be dismissed. The fourteen day period has now expired, and	
20	plaintiff has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court's order. <sup>1</sup>	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice.	
22	See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
23	Dated: January 26, 2017	
24	polk1034.fsc	Fordall & Newman
25		KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order, as well as an earlier order, were returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents	
27		
28	at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
		1