

1 Teresa S. Renaker, Cal. Bar No. 187800
 2 Margaret E. Hasselman, Cal. Bar No.
 3 228529
 4 Kirsten G. Scott, Cal Bar. No. 253464
 5 RENAHER HASSELMAN LLP
 6 235 Montgomery St., Suite 944
 7 San Francisco, CA 94104
 8 Telephone: (415) 653-1733
 9 Facsimile: (415) 727-5079
 10 teresa@renakerhasselman.com
 11 margo@renakerhasselman.com
 12 kirsten@renakerhasselman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

BEESON, TAYER & BODINE, APC
 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 300
 Sacramento, CA 95814-4714
 Telephone: (916) 325-2100
 Facsimile: (916) 325-2120
 Email: jprovost@beesontayer.com

CAROL A. KRSTULIC
 [Admitted Pro Hac Vice]
 BLAKE & UHLIG, P.A.
 753 State Avenue, Suite 745
 Kansas City, KS 66101
 Telephone: (913) 321-8884
 Facsimile: (913) 321-2396
 Email: cak@blake-uhlig.com

Attorneys for Defendants

JOHN C. PROVOST, SBN 125458

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

DARRYL EVANS,

Plaintiff,

v.

BOILERMAKER-BLACKSMITH NATIONAL
 PENSION TRUST AND BOARD OF
 TRUSTEES OF THE BOILERMAKER-
 BLACKSMITH NATIONAL PENSION
 TRUST,

Defendants.

Case No. 16-CV-01043-TLN-KJN

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION
 TO PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER**

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: P'S OBJECTION TO PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER
 CASE NO. 16-CV-01043-TLN-KJN

1 WHEREAS, the Court issued a Pretrial Scheduling Order (“Order”) in this case on
2 August 2, 2016, which covered multiple topics, including the scope of discovery in this case;

3 WHEREAS, the Court’s Order was to become final unless objections were filed within
4 fourteen days of service of the Order;

5 WHEREAS, on August 16, 2016, Plaintiff filed an objection regarding the Order
6 (“Plaintiff’s Objection”), in which he objected to the scope of discovery as set forth in Section
7 IV of the Order, but did not object to any other part of the Order;

8 WHEREAS, on August 17, 2016, the parties received an email from the Court’s
9 Courtroom Deputy indicating that the Court would like to know if the parties are willing to
10 stipulate to Plaintiff’s Objection, and if so, requesting that the parties file a stipulation and
11 proposed order to that effect;

12 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and have reached agreement on this
13 issue;

14 THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate to Plaintiff’s Objection, without Defendants
15 waiving their right to assert objections in response to discovery requests, to be addressed
16 pursuant to normal discovery procedures. The parties further stipulate that all other portions of
17 the Order not addressed in Plaintiff’s Objection are in effect.

18
19 Dated: August 24, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

20
21 RENAKER HASSELMAN LLP

22 By: / s / Kirsten Scott

23 Kirsten Scott
24 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

25 //

26 //

27 //

1 Dated: August 24, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

2
3 By: /s/ Carol Krstulic

4 Carol Krstulic
5 *Attorneys for Defendants*

6
7
8 SIGNATURE ATTESTATION


9 I, Kirsten Scott, hereby attest that the concurrence in the filing of the document has been
10 obtained from the other signatory on this document.

11
12 Dated: August 24, 2016

By: /s/ Kirsten Scott
Kirsten Scott

13
14
15
16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 Dated: August 25, 2016

20
21 
22 Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge