1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH GRIMES,	No. 2:16-cv-1075 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	LEALI, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, seeks relief pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 22, 2016, the complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim,	
19	and plaintiff was granted thirty days' leave to amend. (ECF No. 9.) Plaintiff's amended	
20	complaint is now before the court for screening. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). (ECF No. 15.)	
21	Plaintiff has consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction over all proceedings this action. (ECF	
22	No. 5.)	
23	Having reviewed the amended complaint, the undersigned concludes that it fails to cure	
24	the defects of the original complaint as discussed in the August 22, 2016 screening order. The	
25	violation of state regulations, rules and policies of the CDCR, or other state law, is not sufficient	
26	to state a claim for relief under § 1983. To state a claim under § 1983, there must be a deprivation	
27	of federal constitutional or statutory rights. See Paul v. Davis, 424 U.S. 693 (1976).	
28	/////	

Further, as to plaintiff's allegations concerning his administrative appeals, inmates have no Constitutional right to a specific prison grievance procedure. See Ramirez v. Galaza, 334 F.3d 850, 860 (9th Cir. 2003), citing Mann v. Adams, 855 F.2d 639, 640 (9th Cir. 1988); see also McCoy v. Roe, 509 Fed. Appx. 660, 660 (9th Cir. Feb.19, 2013) (affirming dismissal of claims arising from defendants' handling of prisoner's grievances) (citing Ramirez). Because it appears that another round of amendment would be futile, the undersigned will dismiss this action for failure to state a claim. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim. Dated: October 25, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 2 / grim1075.fac