1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH GRIMES,	No. 2:16-cv-1075 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	LEALI, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis, sought relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1983. Judgment was entered on October 25, 2016. On November 3, 2016, plaintiff filed a	
19	notice of appeal. On November 21, 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth	
20	Circuit referred this matter to the district court "for the limited purpose of determining whether in	
21	forma pauperis status should continue for this appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken	
22	in bad faith." (ECF No. 20.)	
23	The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure provide as follows:	
24	[A] party who has been permitted to proceed in an action in the	
25	district court in forma pauperis may proceed on appeal in forma pauperis without further authorization unless the district court shall certify that the appeal is not taken in good faith or shall find	
2627	that the party is otherwise not entitled so to proceed Fed. R. App. P. 24(a). After review of the record herein, the court determines that plaintiff's	
	appeal is frivolous and his in forma pauperis status on appeal should be revoked. This filing	
28	appear is mivolous and his in forma pauperis	status on appear snould be revoked. This filing

constitutes notification to plaintiff and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 22, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 2/grim1075.ifp_appeal