1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JONATHAN WESLEY GRIGSBY,	No. 2:16-cv-1105-MCE-EFB P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	M. MUNIGA,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to	
18	28 U.S.C. § 2254. On October 3, 2016, respondent timely filed a motion to dismiss on the	
19	grounds that the petition is time barred, unexhausted and contains claims that are not cognizable	
20	in federal habeas. See ECF Nos. 13, 14. Petitioner has not filed an opposition or a statement of	
21	no opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss. <sup>1</sup>	
22	A responding party's failure "to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition	
23	may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the	
24	imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules	
25	"may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or	
26	Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may dismiss this action	
27	<sup>1</sup> Instead, petitioner has moved for default judgment. ECF Nos. 15, 16. Because	
28	respondent's motion was timely filed, petitioner's requests are denied.	

1	with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See	
2	Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in	
3	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended	
4	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,	
5	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule	
6	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).	
7	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:	
8	1. Petitioner's motions (ECF Nos. 15 & 16) are denied.	
9	2. Within 21 days of the date of this order, petitioner shall file either an opposition to the	
10	motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.	
11	3. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be	
12	dismissed.	
13	Dated: October 24, 2016.	
14	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	