1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CARL HARRISON,	No. 2:16-cv-1130 MCE CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	FLORES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On March 22, 2017, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an	
18	amended complaint was granted. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint	
19	would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now	
20	expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
21	RECOMMENDED that this action is dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R.	
22	Civ. P. 41(b).	
23	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
26	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
27	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
28	/////	

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
2	Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: May 9, 2017 Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	1
9	harr1130.fta
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2