Doc. 10 (HC) Hicks v. Arnold 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TYREA KINTE HICKS, No. 2:16-cv-1142 TLN CKD P 12 Petitioner, 13 v. ORDER 14 E. ARNOLD, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On June 28, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein, which 21 were served on Petitioner and which contained notice to Petitioner that he could file objections 22 thereto. The time allotted for the filing of objections has expired and Petitioner has not filed objections. 23 24 The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed <u>de novo</u>. 25 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed 27 the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 28 the magistrate judge's analysis.

1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 28, 2016, are adopted in full; 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice; and 3. The Court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. § 2253. Dated: October 12, 2016 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge