

1 Plaintiff's move between facilities, and stops the bus made at Folsom State Prison, High Desert
2 State Prison, and CSP-Sacramento to collect other prisoners on the bus who Plaintiff alleges
3 threatened him. (ECF No. 25 at 4-5.)¹ Plaintiff also provided examples of an inmate in his
4 current facility threatening to stab him, the steps he took to twice report that threat, and what he
5 alleges was a failure by the prison officials to respond to that report or protect him. (ECF No. 22
6 at 3-4, 6, 8.)

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 22, 2017, are adopted in part;
- 9 2. Defendants' motion for Plaintiff to post security (ECF No. 15) is DENIED without
10 prejudice; and
- 11 3. Defendants' motion to revoke Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status (ECF No. 15) is
12 DENIED without prejudice;

13
14 Dated: October 3, 2017

15
16
17 

18 Troy L. Nunley
19 United States District Judge

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 ¹ Defendants argue Plaintiff's confusion or misstatement about the dates of his moves
27 between facilities is suspicious. (ECF No. 26 at 2.) Defendants, however, have provided
28 different time periods for Plaintiff's time in Folsom State Prison, in one brief stating Plaintiff
spent "nearly three months" there and in another brief stating he spent "six weeks" in that facility.
(ECF No. 23 at 2; ECF No. 26 at 2.)