1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JUAN C. OROZCO, No. 2:16-CV-1217-CMK-P
12	Petitioner,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	WARDEN,
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
19	Petitioner seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Petitioner has submitted the
20	affidavit required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) showing that petitioner is unable to prepay fees and
21	costs or give security therefor. The request will be granted.
22	Pending before the court is petitioner's petition (Doc. 1). "A petitioner for habeas
23	corpus relief must name the state officer having custody of him or her as the respondent to the
24	petition." Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994); see also Rule
25	2(a), Federal Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Because petitioner has not named the
26	appropriate state officer, petitioner will be provided leave to amend to correct this technical
	1

1	defect by naming the correct respondent. See Stanley, 21 F.3d at 360. Petitioner is warned that
2	failure to comply with this order may result in the dismissal of this action. See Local Rule 110.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2) is
5	granted;
6	2. Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1) is dismissed with
7	leave to amend;
8	3. Petitioner shall file an amended petition on the form employed by this
9	court, and which names the proper respondent and states all claims and requests for relief, within
10	30 days of the date of this order; and
11	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the court's form
12	habeas corpus application.
13	
14	DATED: June 28, 2016
15	raig m. Kellison
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
	2

I