

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

COMCAST OF SACRAMENTO I, LLC;
COMCAST OF SACRAMENTO II,
LLC; and COMCAST OF
SACRAMENTO III, LLC;

Plaintiffs,

v.

SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN CABLE
TELEVISION COMMISSION and
DOES 1 through 20,

Defendants.

No. 2:16-cv-1264 WBS EFB

ORDER RELATING CASES

SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN CABLE
TELEVISION COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS
MANAGEMENT, LLC,

Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-500 MCE EFB

1 SACRAMENTO METROPOLITAN CABLE
2 TELEVISION COMMISSION,

No. 2:18-cv-1212 TLN DB

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS
6 MANAGEMENT, LLC,

7 Defendant.

8 -----oo0oo-----

9 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that
10 they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a), because
11 all three cases involve disputes regarding the calculation of
12 franchise fees Comcast entities are required to pay to Sacramento
13 Metropolitan Cable Television Commission under the same statutory
14 regime and thus involve similar or identical legal and factual
15 issues. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same
16 judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort
17 and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

18 The parties should be aware that relating cases under
19 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that the actions are
20 assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
21 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related
22 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to
23 whom the first filed action was assigned.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated
25 Comcast of Sacramento I, LLC v. Sacramento Metropolitan Cable
26 Television Commission, 2:16-cv-1264 WBS EFB; Sacramento
27 Metropolitan Cable Television Commission v. Comcast Cable
28 Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-cv-500 MCE EFB; and

1 Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television Commission v. Comcast
2 Cable Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-cv-1212 TLN DB, be,
3 and the same hereby are, deemed related. The case denominated
4 Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television Commission v. Comcast
5 Cable Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-cv-500 MCE EFB and
6 Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television Commission v. Comcast
7 Cable Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-cv-1212 TLN DB, shall
8 be reassigned to the Honorable WILLIAM B. SHUBB. Any dates
9 currently set in the reassigned cases only are hereby VACATED.
10 Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned
11 cases shall be shown as Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television
12 Commission v. Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-
13 cv-500 WBS EFB and Sacramento Metropolitan Cable Television
14 Commission v. Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC, 2:18-
15 cv-1212 WBS EFB.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
17 an appropriate adjustment in the assignment of cases to
18 compensate for these reassignments.

19 Dated: August 17, 2018



20 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
21 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
22
23
24
25
26
27
28