1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RICHARD JOSE DUPREE, JR.,	No. 2:16-cv-1268 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	U. S. POSTAL SERVICE, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983 along with an application to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a),		
19	1915(a). Plaintiff alleges that the United States Postal Service has violated his federal civil rights		
20	by returning his properly addressed mail. (ECF No. 1.)		
21	28 U.S.C. § 1915 permits any court of the United States to authorize the commencement		
22	and prosecution of any suit without prepayment of fees by a person who submits an affidavit		
23	indicating that the person is unable to pay such fees. However,		
24	[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the		
25	prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the		
26	United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be		
27	granted, unless the prisoner i physical injury.	is under imminent danger of serious	
28	physical injury.	1	
		1	

1	28 U.S.C.	§ 1915(g).
---	-----------	------------

Court records indicate that plaintiff has been deemed a "Three Strikes" inmate under 28
U.S.C. § 1915(g). <u>Dupree v. U. S. Copyright Office</u>, No. 2:11-cv-1700 WBS KJN, Order dated
July 28, 2011 (adopting June 30, 2011 findings and recommendations and deeming dismissal for
frivolousness plaintiff's third strike). The court takes judicial notice of the two other cases
identified therein as § 1915(g) strikes against plaintiff, which were dismissed as frivolous or for
failure to state a claim.¹

8 The imminent danger applies only if it is clear that the danger existed when the complaint
9 was filed. <u>Andrews v. Cervantes</u>, 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007). Allegations of imminent
10 danger that are overly speculative or fanciful may be rejected. <u>Id.</u> at 1057, n.11. Having
11 reviewed the complaint, the undersigned finds that plaintiff has not credibly alleged "imminent
12 danger of serious physical injury" under § 1915(g).

In light of the above, plaintiff will be granted fourteen days to pay the filing fee in thisaction; otherwise, it will be dismissed.

15 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is denied; and
- 17 2. Plaintiff shall pay the \$400 filing fee no later than fourteen days from the date of this

18 order. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action.

19 Dated: June 28, 2016

2 / dupr1269.threestrikes

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Carop U. Delany

CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ <u>Dupree v. United States District Court</u>, No. 2:11-cv-0263 DAD (ECF No. 10); <u>Dupree v.</u>
 <u>Santiago, et al.</u>, No. 2:11-cv-0309 EFB (ECF No. 7).