1	1		
2			
3			
4			
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	0		
11	1 ANTHONY RAY RAMIREZ No. 2:16-CV-12	98 GGH HC	
12	2 Petitioner,		
13	3 v. <u>ORDER</u>		
14	4 M.E. SPEARMAN, Warden,		
15	5 Respondent.		
16	6		
17			
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas		
19	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
20	The application attacks a conviction issued by the County of San Bernardino (Central		
21	District of California). While both this court and the United States District Court in the district		
22	where petitioner was convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410		
23	U.S. 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's		
24	application are more readily available in San Bernardino County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. §		
25	2241(d).		
26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
27		1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis; and	
28	1		
	1		

1	2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of	
2	District of California.	
3	Dated: June 21, 2016	
4	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	GGH:ram.trans	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	