1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DAVID PATRICK ALFORD, No. 2:16-cv-01305-KJM-DMC-P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SCHUMAKER, 15 Defendant. 16 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, 1 brings this civil rights action under 17 18 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 19 Eastern District of California local rules. 20 On February 2, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, 21 which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections 22 within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been 23 filed. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United 25 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are 26 reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations 27 <sup>1</sup> Counsel was previously appointed for the limited purpose of settlement. ECF No. 73. The case did not settle. ECF 28 No. 84. 1

1	of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]
2	court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
3	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 2, 2023, are adopted in
6	full;
7	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution and
8	failure to comply with court rules and orders; and
9	3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.
10	DATED: March 31, 2023.
11	100 100
12	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	CHILD STATES DISTINCT SODGE
14	•
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2