

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JUDY SHEPARD-HALL,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GORDON AND WONG LAW
GROUP PC; and DOES 1 to 10,
inclusive,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:16-cv-01361-MCE-
GGH

AMENDED JUDGMENT

1 On June 20, 2017, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order (“Order”)
2 granting the motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 9) and motion for sanctions
3 (Doc. No. 10) filed by defendant Gordon & Wong Law Group, P.C. (erroneously
4 sued as “Gordon and Wong Law Group PC”) (“Defendant”). See Doc. No. 19.
5 Pursuant to the Order, the Court entered judgment in favor of Defendant and
6 against plaintiff Judy Shepard-Hall (“Plaintiff”) on all claims asserted in the
7 Complaint, and ordered Plaintiff’s counsel – Price Law Group, APC (“PLG”) – to
8 pay Defendant’s attorney’s fees in the amount of \$29,507. *Id.*

9 On September 13, 2017, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order
10 (“Second Order”) denying Plaintiff’s Amended Motion for Reconsideration of the
11 Motion for Sanctions (Doc. No. 22) and granting, in part, Defendant’s motion for
12 additional sanctions (Doc. No. 24). See Doc. No. 32. Pursuant to the Second Order,
13 the Court ordered PLG to pay Defendant an additional \$500. *Id.*

14 Accordingly, the Court hereby enters an AMENDED JUDGMENT in this
15 action as follows:

16 IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Judgment is hereby entered as
17 follows:

- 18 1) in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff on all claims asserted in the
19 Complaint; and
- 20 2) in favor of Defendant and against PLG in the amount of \$30,007.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: September 28, 2017

23
24 
25 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27