1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	JERMAINE BARKLEY,	No. 2:16-cv-1386 MCE CKD P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER
13	CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL	
14	HEALTH CARE SERVICES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On December 18, 2017, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate	
18	judge's order filed December 5, 2017, denying plaintiff's motion to compel. Pursuant to E.D.	
19	Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or	
20	contrary to law." Id. Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the	
21	magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
22	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the	
23	magistrate judge filed December 5, 2017, is affirmed.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: January 4, 2018	11 262
26		MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28		1