1		
1 2		
2		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH ANTHONY STAFFORD,	No. 2:16-CV-1403-JAM-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	DOSS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action. Pending before	
18	the Court is "Plaintiff's Motion Request (sic) Denial of Defendant's Motion of Summary	
19	Judgment & Requests Summary Judgment in Plaintiff's Favor." See ECF No. 64.	
20	To the extent Plaintiff seeks to oppose a motion for summary judgment filed by	
21	Defendants, Plaintiff's filing is premature because Defendants have not filed such a motion. To	
22	the extent Plaintiff seeks summary judgment in his favor, Plaintiff's motion is defective for	
23	failure to comply with Eastern District of California Local Rule 230(b), which requires that	
24	motions be noticed for hearing on the appropriate Judge's calendar. Plaintiff's motion is also	
25	defective for failure to comply with Local Rule 260(a), which requires motions for summary	
26	judgment to be accompanied by a statement of undisputed facts.	
27	///	
28	111	
		1

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's filing at ECF No. 64 is	
2	stricken.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: January 29, 2021	
6	DENNIS M. COTA	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	