1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLES E. GRIFFIN, II,	No. 2:16-cv-1435 WBS CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	DOROTHY DOWILLIAMS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On April 11, 2017, plaintiff filed a document the court construes as a request for	
18	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's March 23, 2017 order. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule	
19	303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law."	
20	Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's	
21	ruling is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
22	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No.	
23	24) is denied.	<i>1.</i> • •
24		illiam Va Shubt
25		IAM B. SHUBB ED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		
27	grif1435.mfr	
28		