




1 the amount correlates directly to the amount of overtime hours each Plaintiff worked. *See id.* at  
2 2.

3  
4 Based on the review of the record, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY  
5 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 6 1. The Settlement Agreement filed by the parties on the court’s docket is approved as  
7 reflecting a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues. *See Hand v. Dionex Corp.*,  
8 No. 06–1318, 2007 WL 3383601, at \*1 (D. Ariz. Nov. 13, 2007) (approving private  
9 settlement agreement under FLSA); *see also Lynn’s Food Stores, Inc. v. United*  
10 *States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1352–54 (11th Cir. 1982) (“When employees bring a private  
11 action for back wages under the FLSA, and present to the district court a proposed  
12 settlement, the district court may enter a stipulated judgment after scrutinizing the  
13 settlement for fairness” . . . [and a] “bona fide dispute over FLSA coverage [and  
14 liability]”).
- 15 2. Because this case represents an exception to the “normal rule that courts do not rule  
16 on the merits of a privately negotiated settlement agreement,” *Hand*, 2007 WL  
17 3383601, at \*1, the court APPROVES the Settlement Agreement, and following  
18 conclusion of the claims period, anticipates dismiss this action with prejudice.
- 19 3. The court reserves jurisdiction over this Action for the purposes of entering dismissal  
20 and enforcing the Settlement Agreement.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: May 19, 2017.

23  
24   
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28