1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SAMUEL E. CLARKE,	No. 2:16-cv-1520 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	DEFARTMENT,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a California prisoner proceeding pro se with an action for violation of civil	
19	rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 2, 2017, the court screened plaintiff's amended	
20	complaint as the court is required to do under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). Plaintiff's amended	
21	complaint was dismissed with leave to file a second amended complaint. Plaintiff filed his	
22	second amended complaint on May 16, 2017.	
23	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a	
24	governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The	
25	court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally	
26	"frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek	
27	monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).	
28	////	
		1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted, the court must accept the allegations in the complaint as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 93-94 (2007), and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

Plaintiff alleges two San Joaquin County Deputy Sheriffs released an attack dog upon plaintiff in June of 2014, causing severe damage to plaintiff's left arm. Plaintiff does not identify the deputy sheriffs so there are no viable defendants.

When the court dismissed plaintiff's amended complaint, the court indicated plaintiff would be granted one more opportunity to state a claim upon which he may proceed. Because plaintiff does not identify in his second amended complaint any defendant against whom this action may proceed, and it does not appear he would be able to in a third amended complaint, the court will recommend that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

23 Dated: September 19, 2017

25

24

26

27

clar1520.fnrs

28

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE