

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WESLEY WILLIAM KESSLER,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
J. BORESZ,  
Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-1552-EFB P

AMENDED ORDER

Plaintiff proceeds without counsel and in forma pauperis an action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), plaintiff must pay the \$350 filing fee required by 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Plaintiff is assessed an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of (a) the average monthly deposits to plaintiff’s trust account; or (b) the average monthly balance in plaintiff’s account for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Thereafter, plaintiff must make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month’s income credited to his trust account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2).

////

1           The agency having custody of plaintiff is required to forward to the Clerk of the Court the  
2 initial partial filing fee, if funds exist, as well as payments from plaintiff's account each time the  
3 amount in the account exceeds \$10, until the filing fee is paid. *Id.*

4           The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order and a copy of plaintiff's in forma  
5 pauperis application upon the Director of the California Department of Corrections and  
6 Rehabilitation and deliver a copy of this order to the Clerk's financial division.<sup>1</sup>

7           So ordered.

8 Dated: December 7, 2017.

  
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

---

<sup>1</sup> This order was originally served on the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department because plaintiff was in their custody when he commenced this action. ECF No. 7. However, on October 13, 2017, the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department notified the court that plaintiff was no longer in their custody. ECF No. 8. Because CDCR is now the agency having custody of plaintiff, the order served on the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department (ECF No. 7) is vacated.