1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DAVID KING,	No. 2:16-cv-1580 KJN P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
14	RON RACKLEY,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, with an application for writ of habeas		
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On January 19, 2017, respondent filed a motion to dismiss		
19	the instant petition as successive. Petitioner	has not filed an opposition to the motion. Local Rule	
20	230(l) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a		
21	statement of no opposition may be deemed a	waiver of any opposition to the granting of the	
22	motion" <u>Id.</u>		
23	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREB	Y ORDERED that petitioner show cause, within	
24	twenty-one days, why his failure to oppose respondent's motion to dismiss should not be deemed		
25	a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion, and he shall file an opposition.		
26	Petitioner is cautioned that failure to respond to the instant order, or to file an opposition to the		
27	////		
28	////		
		1	

1	pending motion to dismiss, will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.	
2	Dated: March 8, 2017	
3	Ferdal P. Newman	
4	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	/king1580.46h	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		