1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN JOHN,	No. 2:16-cv-1640 JAM DB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se with the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a	
18	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19	On September 28, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
20	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the	
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings	
22	and recommendations. The fourteen-day period has expired, and plaintiff has not filed any	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.	
26	////	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 2, 2017 (ECF No. 9) are adopted in full; and 2. Defendant County of Sacramento is dismissed from this action. DATED: 12/19/2017 /s/ John A. Mendez_ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE