

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EVAN ALDER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF YOLO,
Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-01682-VC

**ORDER VACATING PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES;
REQUESTING MOTION FOR
SETTLEMENT APPROVAL**

In light of the parties' stipulation and notice of settlement, the previously scheduled dates for the pretrial conference and trial are vacated. Plaintiffs' counsel is ordered to file a motion for settlement approval by December 4, 2017 explaining in detail why the settlement agreement is "a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute." *Slezak v. City of Palo Alto*, No. 16-CV-03224-LHK, 2017 WL 2688224, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 22, 2017) (quoting *Lynn's Food Stores, Inc. v. United States*, 679 F.2d 1350, 1354-55 (11th Cir. 1982)); see also *Beidleman v. City of Modesto*, No. 116CV01100DADSKO, 2017 WL 5257087, at *1-2 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 2017); *Mar v. Genuine Parts Co.*, No. 2:15-CV-01405-MCE-AC, 2017 WL 1495098, at *1 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 2017). To evaluate whether the settlement meets this standard, the motion must discuss, at least, the strength of the plaintiffs' FLSA claims and why plaintiffs' counsel's requested fee award is reasonable in light of the settlement amount. If, after reviewing the motion, the Court determines a hearing is required, it will schedule one.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 20, 2017



VINCE CHHABRIA
United States District Judge