1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	ROY LESTER BROOKS,No. 2:16-cv-1689 JAM DB P
11	Petitioner,
12	v. <u>ORDER</u>
13	ERIC ARNOLD,
14	Respondent.
15	
16	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel based on the complexity of the issues
17	in this case. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas
18	proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. §
19	3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so
20	require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not
21	find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present
22	time.
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of
24	counsel (ECF No. 21) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the
25	proceedings.
26	Dated: January 13, 2017
27	
28	DLB:9 DLB1/prisoner-habeas/broo1689.110
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE