

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LaSonja Porter,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sergeant Munoz, in his individual
capacity, et al.

Defendants.
_____ /

2:16-cv-01702LEK

AMENDED STATUS (Pre-trial
Scheduling) ORDER

After review of the Joint Status Report, the court makes the following order:

SERVICE OF PROCESS

Defendants City of Davis and Munoz have all waived service and have answered
the operative Complaint.

JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES/AMENDMENTS

Plaintiff's position: Plaintiff requests that on motion or through the Court's own
inclination, that the court may at any time, on just terms, add or drop a party as stated in FRCP
21.

Defendants' position: Defendants oppose any attempt to "hold open" the Plaintiff's ability to
amend the Complaint. Such amendments, if any, need to be pursuant to the applicable standard
("good cause having been shown") in accordance with FRCP 16.

Plaintiff's position: Plaintiff anticipates the need to amend complaint to add additional
defendants, who names are not currently known.

Defendants' position: Defendants oppose any attempt to "hold open" the Plaintiff's ability to
amend the Complaint. Such amendments, if any, need to be pursuant to the applicable standard

1 (“good cause having been shown”) in accordance with FRCP 16.

2 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3 This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331
4 (in that they arise under the Constitution of the United States); and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
5 1343(a)(3) (in that they are brought to address deprivations, under color of state authority, of
6 rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the United States Constitution).
7 Venue is proper in the United State District Court for the Eastern District of California under 28
8 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendants are located in the Eastern District of California and many of the
9 acts and/or omissions complained of herein are purported to have occurred in the Eastern
10 District of California.

11 Intradistrict venue is proper in the Sacramento Division of the United State District Court
12 for the Eastern District of California under Local Rule 120(d), because this action arises from
13 acts and/or omissions which are purported to have occurred in the County of Yolo, California.

14 MOTION HEARINGS SCHEDULES

15 All dispositive motions shall be filed by **August 1, 2018**. Hearing on such motions shall
16 be on September 19, 2018 at 09:45 a.m. (Hawaii time). 11:45 a.m. or thereabouts (Eastern
17 District of California time).

18 **The parties are reminded of the notice requirements as outlined in Local Rule 230(b).**

19 The time deadline for dispositive motions does not apply to motions for continuances,
20 temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications.

21 All purely legal issues are to be resolved by timely pre-trial motions. The parties are
22 reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices designed to address the admissibility
23 of evidence and are cautioned that the court will look with disfavor upon substantive motions
24 presented at the final pre-trial conference or at trial in the guise of motions in limine. The parties
25 are further cautioned that if any legal issue which should have been tendered to the court by
26

1 proper pre-trial motion requires resolution by the court after the established law and motion
2 cut-off date, substantial sanctions may be assessed for the failure to file the appropriate pre-trial
3 motion.

4 Unless prior permission has been granted, memoranda of law in support of and in
5 opposition to motions under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and 65 and any post-judgment
6 or post-trial motions are limited to twenty-five (25) pages, and reply memoranda are limited
7 to ten (10) pages. Memoranda of law in support of and in opposition to all other motions are
8 limited to fifteen (15) pages, and reply memoranda are limited to five (5) pages. The parties
9 are also cautioned against filing multiple briefs to circumvent this rule.

10 A violation of this Order will result in monetary sanctions being imposed against counsel in the
11 amount of \$50.00 per page and the Court will not consider any arguments made past the page
12 limit.

13 Documentary evidence submitted in support of or in opposition to a motion must be
14 separately bound or placed in a binder, and exhibits must be separated with labeled tabs that
15 extend beyond the edge of the page. An Index of exhibits must also be included.

16 At least 28 days before the dispositive motions filing deadline, the parties must meet and
17 confer to determine whether they intend to file cross motions for summary judgment. If the
18 parties do intend to file cross motions, the plaintiff must file the opening brief for summary
19 judgment at least 14 days before the dispositive motions filing deadline. The plaintiff's
20 concurrently-filed notice of motion shall indicate the parties' intention to file cross motions and
21 shall notice a hearing date at least 42 days from the date of filing. The briefing schedule will
22 be as follows. Only four briefs shall be filed:

23 (1) Plaintiff's opening brief (25 pages maximum), along with any other documents
24 required or permitted under Local Rule 260, shall be filed at least 7 days before the
25 dispositive motions filing deadline;

- 1 (2) Defendant's opposition and cross motion for summary judgment (35 pages
2 maximum) shall be filed at least 35 days before the hearing;
- 3 (3) Plaintiff's reply and opposition (20 pages maximum) shall be filed at least 21 days
4 before the hearing;
- 5 (4) Defendant's reply (10 pages maximum) shall be filed at least 14 days before the
6 hearing.

7 These are the only four briefs that may be filed, even if the parties move for summary judgment
8 on more than one complaint (e.g., motions on a complaint and a counterclaim). The parties
9 must obtain permission from the Court to exceed the stated page limitations. The parties are
10 reminded that they may not file a reply to a Response of the Statement of Undisputed Facts.
11 Parties are advised to carefully read Local Rule 260, which permits an opposing party to file
12 only (1) a Statement of Disputed Facts and (2) a Response to the Statement of Undisputed
13 Facts (with the moving party's Statement of Undisputed Facts reproduced and then admitted
14 or denied, with citations). If the parties do not comply with this procedure and schedule for
15 filing cross motions for summary judgment, but nonetheless file cross motions, the Court will
16 deny both motions without prejudice and may impose sanctions.

17
18 DISCOVERY

19 All discovery (including expert discovery) shall be completed by August 3, 2018. In
20 this context, "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all
21 depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved
22 by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been
23 complied with.

24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESSES

The parties shall make expert witness disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by **June 8, 2018**. Supplemental disclosure and disclosure of any rebuttal experts under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(c) shall be made by **July 6, 2018**.

Failure of a party to comply with the disclosure schedule as set forth above in all likelihood will preclude that party from calling the expert witness at the time of trial absent a showing that the necessity for the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the disclosures were ordered and that the failure to make timely disclosure did not prejudice any other party. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c).

All experts designated are to be fully prepared at the time of designation to render an informed opinion, and give their reasons therefore, so that they will be able to give full and complete testimony at any deposition taken by the opposing parties. Experts will not be permitted to testify at the trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, after deposition taken subsequent to designation.

ANTICIPATED DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING

1) Initial Disclosures

The parties agree that initial disclosures will become due thirty (30) days after the Case Management Conference, or no later than October 25, 2017.

2) Discovery Subjects; Discovery Phases

Plaintiff's position: Plaintiff anticipates discovery related to (but not limited to) the Defendants' training, manuals, department training manuals and memos, incident reports, field reports, discipline records, complaint records, video recordings, audio recordings, field notes and all information consisting of Defendants' contact(s) with Plaintiff.

1 Defendants' position: Defendants anticipate discovery related to the Plaintiff's numerous factual
2 and legal allegations as stated in the Complaint, as well as Plaintiff's damages. Defendants do
3 not anticipate the need to conduct discovery in phases.

4 3) Changes to the FRCP

The parties do not anticipate the need for changes to the Rules in this case.

5 4) Expert Witnesses Disclosure 5) Proposed Cut-off Date for Discovery

6 JOINT MID-LITIGATION STATEMENTS

7 Not later than fourteen (14) days prior to the close of discovery, the parties shall file with
8 the court a brief joint statement summarizing all law and motion practice heard by the court as
9 of the date of the filing of the statement, whether the court has disposed of the motion at the
10 time the statement is filed and served, and the likelihood that any further motions will be noticed
11 prior to the close of law and motion. The filing of this statement shall not relieve the parties
12 or counsel of their obligation to timely notice all appropriate motions as set forth above.

13 FINAL PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE

14 The final pre-trial conference is set for October 9, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. (Hawaii time),
15 3:30 p.m. or thereabouts (Eastern District of California time). All counsel by telephone. In
16 each instance an attorney who will try the case for a given party shall participate in the final
17 pretrial conference on behalf of that party; provided, however, that if by reason of illness or other
18 unavoidable circumstance the trial attorney is unable to participate, the attorney who attends
19 in place of the trial attorney shall have equal familiarity with the case and equal authorization
20 to make commitments on behalf of the client. All pro se parties must participate in the pre-trial
21 conference.

22 Counsel for all parties and all pro se parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time
23 of the pre-trial conference, with no matters remaining to be accomplished except production of
24 witnesses for oral testimony. The parties shall file with the court, no later than seven days prior
25

1 to the final pre-trial conference, a joint pre-trial statement.
2 Also at the time of filing the Joint Pretrial Statement, counsel
3 are requested to e-mail the Joint Pretrial Statement and any attachments in Wordperfect or
4 Word format to Judge Kobayashi's judicial assistant, Starr Quon at:
5 starr_quon@hid.uscourts.gov.

6 Where the parties are unable to agree as to what legal or factual issues are properly before
7 the court for trial, they should nevertheless list all issues asserted by any of the parties and
8 indicate by appropriate footnotes the disputes concerning such issues. The provisions of Local
9 Rule 281 shall, however, apply with respect to the matters to be included in the joint pre-trial
10 statement. Failure to comply with Local Rule 281, as modified herein, may be grounds for
11 sanctions.

12 The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 281(b)(10) and (11) they are
13 required to list in the final pre-trial statement all witnesses and exhibits they propose to offer
14 at trial, no matter for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the body of the final
15 pre-trial statement itself, but shall be attached as separate documents so that the court may
16 attach them as an addendum to the final pre-trial order. The final pre-trial order will contain
17 a stringent standard for the offering at trial of witnesses and exhibits not listed in the final pre-trial
18 order, and the parties are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied. On the other hand,
19 the listing of exhibits or witnesses that a party does not intend to offer will be viewed as an abuse
20 of the court's processes.

21 The parties are also reminded that pursuant to Rule 16, Fed. R. Civ. P., it will be their
22 duty at the final pre-trial conference to aid the court in: (a) formulation and simplification of issues
23 and the elimination of frivolous claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should properly
24 be admitted; and (c) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. Counsel
25

26

1 must cooperatively prepare the joint pre-trial statement and participate in good faith at the final
2 pre-trial conference with these aims in mind. A failure to do so may result in the imposition
3 of sanctions which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, elimination of
4 claims or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate.

5 TRIAL SETTING

6 Jury trial in this matter is set for November 5, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. in a Courtroom to be
7 determined in the Eastern District of California. The parties estimate a trial length of
8 approximately 5 days.

9 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

10
11 Plaintiff's Position:

12 Plaintiff is amenable to resolving this case and believes an early settlement conference is
13 appropriate.

14 Defendants' Position:

15 Based on the allegations in the First Amended Complaint, Defendants do not believe that a
16 settlement conference will be productive at this time. Defendants may reconsider their position
17 after depositions are taken.

18 OBJECTIONS TO AMENDED STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER

19 This Amended Status Order will become final without further Order of Court unless
20 objection is lodged within seven (7) days of the date of the filing of this Order.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: Honolulu, Hawaii, October 19, 2017.



/s/ Leslie E. Kobayashi

Leslie E. Kobayashi
United States District Judge